



കേരള സർക്കാർ
Government of Kerala
2015



Regn. No. KERBIL/2012/45073
dated 5-9-2012 with RNI

Reg. No. KL/TV(N)/634/2015-17

കേരള ഗസറ്റ് KERALA GAZETTE

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

വാല്യം 4	തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	2015 ജൂൺ 30 30th June 2015	നമ്പർ
Vol. IV	Thiruvananthapuram, Tuesday	1190 മിഥുനം 15 15th Mithunam 1190	No.
		1937 ആഷാഢം 9 9th Ashadha 1937	26

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Skills Department

Labour and Skills (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 622/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 8th May 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Principal, Divine Providence English Medium School, Pallikkara, Nileschwaram and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Soumya, K. Kanakkara House, Pallikkara, Nileschwaram, Kasaragod-671 314 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Soumya, K. by the management of Divine Providence English Medium School, is justifiable ? If not, what are the reliefs she is entitled to ?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 623/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 8th May 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri S. Muthuswami, 23/56, Bhavyasree House, Kottiyil Lane, Kanattukara P. O., Thrissur-680 011 and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri C. Sajith Kumar, Valayil House, Manjappra P. O., Vadakkancheri, Palakkad-678 685

represented by the General Secretary, Thrichur District Road Transport Employees union (CITU) Machingal Lane, Cylon Lodging, 680 001 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to C. Sajith Kumar, Conductor by the owner of Bus No. KL-59-C-3636 is justifiable or not ? If not what relief he is entitled to get ?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 624/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 8th May 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Agreenco Fibre Foam (Private) Limited, Valapattanam P. O., Kannur-670 010 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri K. P. Adeeb Rahman, 'Uvaisha', Pallikkunnumbram Road, Valapattanam P. O., Kannur - 670 010 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Sri K. P. Adeeb Rahman by the management of Agreenco Fibre Foam (Private) Limited, Valapattanam P. O., Kannur is justifiable or not? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 625/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 8th May 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Dr. Jayaprakash, V. Upadyaya, Surgeon, Medi Pharma, Mass Complex, Near Ice Land, Kanhangad, Kasaragod (2) Smt. Radhika Upadyaya, Managing Director, Medi Pharma, Kanhangad, Kasaragod and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. Jayasree, N. W/o. Vinod, K. V., Athikkoth House, Chammatom Vayal, Balla P. O., in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Jayasree, N. by the management of Medi Pharma, Kanhangad is justifiable ? If not, what relief she is entitled to ?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 626/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 8th May 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Kerala State Civil Supplies Corporation, Maveli Bhavan, Maveli Road, Gandhi Nagar, Kochi-682 020 and the workmen of the above referred establishment (1) Sri K. V. Unni, S/o Keshavan, Velipparambu House, Nettur P. O., Ernakulam (2) Smt. Jalaja Krishnankutty (W/o. Sri Krishnankutty), Nedumpally House, K. Puram Thanaloor P. O., Malappuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of Service of Sri K.V. Unni & Sri Krishnankutty by the management of Kerala State Civil Supplies Corporation represented by the Managing Director, Maveli Bhavan, Kochi is justifiable ? If not, what are the benefits for which the workmen are entitled to (One employee deceased in the course of proceedings of Industrial Dispute) ?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 639/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 12th May 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) the Chairman & Managing Director, Kerala State Civil Supplies Corporation Limited, Maveli Bhavan, Maveli Road, Gandhi Nagar, Kochi-682 020, (2) the Assistant Manager, (Depot) Kerala State Civil Supplies Corporation Limited, Near Madrasa, Manna, Thalipparambu P. O., Kannur-670 141 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the District Secretary, Supplyco Workers Federation (AITUC), Balaram Smarakam, Rajeev Gandhi Road, Kannur-670 001 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. T. K. Omana by the management of Kerala State Civil Supplies Corporation Limited is justifiable or not ? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(7)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 640/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 12th May 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri P. V. Abdul Khadar, Proprietor, Faiza Bakery, Mottambram, Madayi P. O., Kannur - 670 304 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Kovvapravan Bharathan, Kannadiyal Veedu, Erinavu, Erinavu P. O., Kannur-670 301 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri K. Bharathan by the Proprietor, Faiza Bakery, Mottambram, Madayi, Kannur is justifiable or not ? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(8)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 641/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 12th May 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Balan, Proprietor, Anupama Bakery, Near Bus Stand, Perambra, Pin-673 525 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri P. P. Gopinath, Puthenpurayil, Koothali, Kozhikode, Pin-673 525 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri P. P. Gopinath, Puthenpurayil, Koothali by the Proprietor of Anupama Bakery, Perambra are justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?

(9)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 642/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 12th May 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between (1) the General Manager, SDF Industries Limited, Pampadi, Thiruvilwamala, Thrissur-680 597 (2) Sri T. K. Annamala Muthali, Contractor, SDF Industries Limited, Pampadi, Thiruvilwamala, Thrissur-680 597 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the President, S.D.F. Industries Employees Congress (INTUC), Pampadi, Thiruvilwamala, Thrissur-680 597 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of (1) Sri O. P. Sivadasan, (2) Sri K. Sasikumar, (3) Sri K. P. Vijayan, workers of SDF Industries Limited. Pampadi, Thiruvilwamala by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to get?

(10)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 643/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 12th May 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri V. Ramankutty, Proprietor, Jem Foods, 5/396 Vazhathiruthi Road, Civil Station P. O., Kozhikode-673 020 and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by Sri P. Sukumaran, Secretary, Kozhikode District Commercial Employees Union (CITU), Palayam Road, Kozhikode-1 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Muneer by the Proprietor of Jem Foods, Civil Station, Kozhikode is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(11)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 652/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 15th May 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Abubakkar, V. K., Managing Director, Safety Foods Private Limited, Owshadi Road, Kottakkal, Malappuram District-676 503 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Unnikrishnan, K. K. S/o Kunjiraman Nair, Kavilakathu Kalathil House, Mangalam P. O., Malappuram-676 561 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Unnikrishnan, K. K., by the management of Safety Foods Private Limited, Kottakkal is justifiable or not? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

MADHU, K.,
Deputy Secretary to Government.